

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/732,408	12/09/1996	JOHANNES REINMULLER	HUBR1099PFFM	7906
7590 06/15/2004			EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT AND JAWORSKI 666 FIFTH AVE		PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
NEW YORK, NY 10103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3738	40.

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offici PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 156 and 157 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being duplicates of one another. They depend off of the same claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 129-132,135-141,147,149,152-155,160,167-181 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henley (5534023). Fig. 1 shows a medical implant with a plurality of connected strands of material 14 and an outer covering 11. Henley discloses the covering is a silicone rubber, col. 4, lines 8-9. Henley also discloses the beads inside have extrudate chains 14 that the Examiner is interpreting as "spaghetti-like strands," which are made of silicone, col. 4, lines 30-33. It is inherent that silicone is hydrophobic. With respect to claims 171-174, Fig. 2 shows the strands are solid that are used to connect beads. Henley discloses the method of using the implant for implantation and it is placed at a soft tissue site, col. 3, lines 60-65. Henley discloses lubricants to reduce friction can also be added, such as swellable ones or a polysaccharide such as dextran, col. 6, lines 22-26. Please note that anything can be considered "wettable".

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 133,134,158 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley '023 in view of Fisher (5496367). Henley '023 is explained as before. However, Henley does not disclose the use of plastic for the strand material. Fisher discloses the use of plastic for structural material enclosed in an implant, col. 3, lines 18-22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute materials and use plastic as taught by Fisher in the implant of Henley such that it provides a little more rigidity or firmness.

Claims 142, 144-146,148,150 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley '023 in view of Shimizu (5607590). Henley '023 is explained as before. However, Henley does not disclose the surface is hydrophilized. Shimizu teaches that silicone surfaces can be hydrophilized such that it increases the affinity for living tissue or potential tissue ingrowth, col. 1, lines 49,50,55-60. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a hydrophilized surface as taught by Shimizu with the implant of Henley such that the prosthesis is able to be secured within the body and not have shifting.

Claims 156,157 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley '023 in view of Perry et al. (5282857). Henley is explained supra. However, Henley does not disclose using fat or oil as a lubricant. Perry et al. teach that fats or oils in the form of glycerides are used in implants, col. 3, lines 1-4. It would have been

Art Unit: 3738

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a fat or oil that wets a surface of the implant for lubrication as taught by Perry with the implant of Henley in order to reduce friction and permit a more natural movement within the shell.

Claim 143,161,162 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley '023 in view of Taylor (4657553). Henley is explained supra. However, Henley does not disclose the use of polysaccharides or polydimethylsiloxane as the implant material. Taylor teaches that polysaccharides are used in soft tissue implants and can be hydrophilic, col. 1, lines 55-57. Taylor also teaches that polydimethylsiloxane is used in constructing medical implant material, col. 4, lines 37-44. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polysaccharide or polydimethylsiloxane as the implant material as taught by Taylor for the implant of Henley because of the suitability of these materials in medical uses. Polysaccharides are not harmful if leakage does occur.

Claim 159 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley '023 in view of Shimizu '590 as applied to claim 148 above, and further in view of Fisher '367. Henley as modified by Shimizu is explained supra. However, Henley in view of Shimizu do not disclose plastic as the implant material. Fisher is explained supra. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use plastic as an implant material as taught by Fisher for the implant of Henley as modified by Shimizu in order to provide a little more firmness to the implant's feel.

Claim 163 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley '023 in view of Fisher '367 as applied to claim 158 above, and further in view of

Art Unit: 3738

Chapman (4348329). Henley as modified by Fisher is explained supra. However, Henley in view of Fisher do not disclose cuprophane as the implant material. Chapman teaches that polymers or "plastic" used in implants have coatings that are biocompatible, col. 6, lines 32-36,49-54 and cuprophane is one material used (col. 13, lines 9,12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use cuprophane as an implant material as taught by Chapman for the implant of Henley as modified by Fisher in order to reduce cell membrane damage.

Claims 164-166 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley '023 in view of Ledergerber (EP 322194). Henley is explained supra. However, Henley does not disclose a foam structure in the implant or X-ray medium incorporated in the implant. Ledergerber teaches that foam can be used in the implant, col. 4, lines 8-18. Ledergerber additionally teaches that an x-ray contrast medium can be incorporated into the material, col. 12, lines 53-58. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a foam structure or a contrast medium in the implant as taught by Ledergerber with the implant of Henley such that it may be less dense as a result of using foam so it does not feel too heavy for the patient and is easily detected by imaging.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's argument that Henley's invention includes additional structure of gas filled beads not required by Applicant's invention, it must be

Art Unit: 3738

noted that Henley discloses the silicone strands as claimed. The fact that it discloses

Page 6

additional structure not claimed is irrelevant to the issue of patentability. Henley does

not disclose these strands are used to communicate any gases from bead to bead and

thus the strands are clearly solid.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Pellegrino whose telephone number is (703) 306-

5899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9am to

6:30pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at (703) 308-2111. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0858.

TC 3700, AU 3738

Brian E. Pellegrino

Brian & Pellegrino